Europ Assistance Holdings Limited Pension and Life Assurance Plan Implementation Statement Year Ending 31 December 2022

Glossary

ESG	Environmental, Social and Governance
Investment Adviser	First Actuarial LLP
LGIM	Legal & General Investment Management
Scheme	Europ Assistance Holdings Limited Pension and Life Assurance Plan
Scheme Year	1 January 2022 to 31 December 2022
SIP	Statement of Investment Principles
UNPRI	United Nations Principles for Responsible Investment

Introduction

This Implementation Statement reports on the extent to which, over the Scheme Year, the Trustee has followed its policy relating to the exercise of rights (including voting rights) attaching to the Scheme's investments. In addition, the Implementation Statement summarises the voting behaviour of the Scheme's investment managers and includes details of the most significant votes cast and the use of the services of proxy voting advisers.

In preparing this statement, the Trustee has considered guidance from the Department for Work & Pensions which was updated on 17 June 2022.

Relevant Investments

The Scheme's assets are invested in pooled funds and some of those funds include an allocation to equities. Where equities are held, the investment manager has the entitlement to vote.

At the end of the Scheme Year, the Scheme invested in the following funds which included an allocation to equities:

- LGIM World Equity Index Fund
- LGIM Asia Pacific (ex Japan) Developed Equity Index Fund
- LGIM Diversified Fund

We don't analyse voting records of Mobius Life / Schroders as the Structured Equity holding uses derivative contracts to gain exposure to movements in equity markets, rather than investing in companies. Therefore, the manager(s) do not have voting rights.

The Trustee's Policy Relating to the Exercise of Rights

Summary of the Policy

The Trustee's policy in relation to the exercise of rights (including voting rights) attaching to the investments is set out in the SIP, and a summary is as follows:

- The Trustee believes that good stewardship can help create, and preserve, value for companies and markets as a whole and the Trustee wishes to encourage best practice in terms of stewardship.
- The Trustee invests in pooled investment vehicles and therefore accepts that ongoing engagement with the underlying companies (including the exercise of voting rights) will be determined by the investment managers' own policies on such matters.
- When selecting a pooled fund, the Trustee considers, amongst other things, the
 investment manager's policy in relation to the exercise of the rights (including voting
 rights) attaching to the investments held within the pooled fund.
- When considering the ongoing suitability of an investment manager, the Trustee (in conjunction with its Investment Adviser) will take account of any particular characteristics of that manager's engagement policy that are deemed to be financially material.
- The Trustee will normally select investment managers who are signatories to the UNPRI.
- If it is identified that a fund's investment manager is not engaging with companies the Trustee may look to replace that fund. However, in the first instance, the Trustee

would normally expect its Investment Adviser to raise the Trustee's concerns with the investment manager.

Has the Policy Been Followed During the Scheme Year?

The Trustee's opinion is that its policy relating to the exercise of rights (including voting rights) attaching to the investments has been followed during the Scheme Year. In reaching this conclusion, the following points were taken into consideration:

- There has been no change to the Trustee's belief regarding the importance of good stewardship.
- The Scheme's invested assets remained invested in pooled funds over the period.
- In addition, during the Scheme Year, the Trustee introduced an allocation to the LGIM Asia Pacific (ex Japan) Developed Market Index fund. The Trustee considered the ESG characteristics of the fund before selecting it and this included consideration of the investment manager's approach towards the exercise of voting rights.
- During the Scheme Year, the Trustees considered the voting records of the investment managers over the period ending 31 December 2021.
- Since the end of the Scheme Year, an updated analysis of the voting records of the investment managers based on the period ending 31 December 2022 has been undertaken as part of the work required to prepare this Implementation Statement. A summary of the key findings from that analysis is provided below.
- The investment manager used by the Scheme is UNPRI signatories.

The Investment Manager's Voting Records

A summary of the investment manager's voting records is shown in the table below.

Investment Manager		Split of votes:			
	Number of votes	For	Against / withheld	Did not vote/ abstained	
LGIM	150,000	76%	23%	1%	

<u>Notes</u>

These voting statistics are based on the manager's full voting record over the 12 months to 31 December 2022 rather than votes related solely to the funds held by the Scheme.

Use of Proxy Voting Advisers

Investment Manager	Who is their proxy voting adviser?	How is the proxy voting adviser used?
LGIM	ISS and IVIS	ISS and IVIS provide research and ISS administer votes. However, all voting is determined by guidelines set by LGIM.

The Investment Manager's Voting Behaviour

The Trustee has reviewed the voting behaviour of the investment manager by considering the following:

- broad statistics of their voting record such as the percentage of votes cast for and against the recommendations of boards of directors (i.e. "with management" or "against management");
- the votes they cast in the year to 31 December 2022 on the most contested proposals in nine categories across the UK, the US and Europe;
- the investment manager's policies and statements on the subjects of stewardship, corporate governance and voting.

The Trustee has also compared the voting behaviour of the investment manager with their peers over the same period.

Further details of the approach adopted by the Trustee for assessing voting behaviour are provided in the Appendix.

The Trustee's key observations are set out below.

Voting in Significant Votes

Based on information provided by the Trustee's Investment Adviser, the Trustee has identified significant votes in nine separate categories. The Trustee considers votes to be more significant if they are closely contested. i.e. close to a 50:50 split for and against. A closely contested vote indicates that shareholders considered the matter to be significant enough that it should not be simply "waved through". In addition, in such a situation, the vote of an individual investment manager is likely to be more important in the context of the overall result.

The five most significant votes in each of the nine categories based on shares held by the Scheme's investment managers are listed in the Appendix. In addition, the Trustee considered each investment manager's overall voting record in significant votes (i.e. votes across all stocks not just the stocks held within the funds used by the Scheme).

Analysis of Voting Behaviour

LGIM

LGIM has a greater tendency than many other investment managers to oppose management in closely contested votes. The high incidence of opposition is consistent with the broad range of policies covered within LGIM's corporate governance documentation; each policy provides a set of criteria which can be used to justify a vote against management.

LGIM has supported shareholder proposals designed to tackle ESG issues and has held directors to account regarding their energy transition proposals (proposals setting out how greenhouse gas emissions will be reduced).

The Trustee has no concerns regarding LGIM's voting record.

The Trustee's Investment Advisers provide regular feedback to the Scheme's investment managers where online voting disclosure is lacking or where an investment manager's voting behaviour differs materially from their peers.

Conclusion

Based on the analysis undertaken, the Trustee has no material concerns regarding the voting records of LGIM.

The Trustee will keep the voting actions of the investment manager under review.

Signed by Charles Goddard on 30 June 2023						3	
						Date:	
Signed or Assurance		the Trustee	of the Eur	rop Assista	ance Holdin	gs Limited Pe	ension and Life

Significant Votes

The table below records how the Scheme's investment manager voted in the most significant votes identified by the Trustee.

Company	Meeting Date	Proposal		Votes Against (%)	LGIM
Audit & Reporting					
W. R. BERKLEY CORPORATION	15/06/2022	Ratify KPMG LLP as Auditors	50	50	Against
PALACE CAPITAL PLC	29/07/2022	Receive the Annual Report	68	31	For
MODERNA INC	28/04/2022	Appoint the Auditors	75	24	Against
INVESCO PERPETUAL UK SMALLER COMPANIES	09/06/2022	Re-appoint Ernst & Young LLP as the auditor of the Company	76	24	For
WORLDLINE SA	09/06/2022	Renew Appointment of Deloitte & Associes as Auditor	77	23	
WORLDLINE 3A	03/00/2022	neter Appointment of before a 7 docted as Addition	//	25	Against
Shareholder Capital & Rights					
TULLOW OIL PLC	25/05/2022	Issue Shares for Cash	56	44	For
PLAYTECH PLC	30/06/2022	Issue Shares for Cash for the Purpose of Financing an Acquisition or Other Capital	58	42	For
		Issue Shares with Pre-emption Rights			
PALACE CAPITAL PLC	29/07/2022		62	37	For
SHAFTESBURY PLC	04/02/2022	Issue Shares for Cash for the Purpose of Financing an Acquisition or Other Capital	70	29	For
FERRARI NV	13/04/2022	Grant Board Authority to Issue Special Voting Shares	71	29	Against
Pay & Remuneration					
THE COCA-COLA COMPANY	26/04/2022	Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation	50	49	Against
THE TJX COMPANIES INC.	07/06/2022	Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation	48	49	
		Approve Remuneration Policy of Chairman and CEO, CEO and Vice-CEOs			Against
ORANGE S.A	19/05/2022	Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation	50	49	Against
TRANSDIGM GROUP INCORPORATED HENRY SCHEIN INC.	12/07/2022 18/05/2022	Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation	51 51	48 48	Against
HENRY SCHEIN INC.	18/05/2022	Advisory vote on executive compensation	51	48	Against
Constitution of Company, Board & Advisers					
TE CONNECTIVITY LTD	09/03/2022	Amend Article 5	50	50	Against
INTEL CORPORATION	12/05/2022	Elect Alyssa Henry - Non-Executive Director	50	49	Against
MOTOROLA SOLUTIONS INC.	17/05/2022	Elect Egon P. Durban - Non-Executive Director	51	49	Against
M&T BANK CORPORATION	25/04/2022	Elect John R. Scannell - Non-Executive Director	51	49	Against
CARRIER GLOBAL CORP	14/04/2022	Elect David Gitlin - Chair & Chief Executive	52	48	Against
					. 6
Merger, Acquisition, Sales & Finance					
FORESIGHT GROUP HOLDINGS LIMITED	10/08/2022	Approve the Waiver of Rule 9	53	47	Against
BALTIC CLASSIFIEDS GROUP PLC	28/09/2022	Approve Waiver of Rule 9 of the Takeover Code	66	34	For
LUCECO PLC	12/05/2022	Approve Waiver of Rule 9 of the Takeover Code	74	26	Against
		Authorise Board to Issue Free Warrants with Preemptive Rights During a Public			
BOUYGUES SA	28/04/2022	Tender Offer	76	24	Against
BOOTGOESSA	20/04/2022	Delegate Power to the Board to Carry Spin-Off Agreements	,,,	27	Against
DASSAULT SYSTEMES SE	19/05/2022		78	22	Against
Climate Related Resolutions					
GLENCORE PLC	28/04/2022	Climate Progress Report	76	24	Against
M&G PLC	25/05/2022	Approve Climate Transition Plan and Climate-Related Financial Disclosure	78	20	Against
CENTRICA PLC	07/06/2022	Approve Climate Transition Plan	79	20	
	24/05/2022	Approve the Shell Energy Transition Progress	77	19	For
Royal Dutch Shell UNITED UTILITIES GROUP PLC	22/07/2022	Approve Climate-Related Financial Disclosures	80	19	Against For
	22/0//2022	Approve Carriate-Melated Financial Discussives	δU	13	FUI
Other Company Resolutions					
TE CONNECTIVITY LTD	09/03/2022	Allow Proxy Solicitation	62	38	Against
INVESTEC PLC	04/08/2022	Investec plc: Approve Political Donations	70	29	For
LIONTRUST ASSET MANAGEMENT	22/09/2022	Meeting Notification-related Proposal	66	24	Against
SSP GROUP PLC	04/02/2022	Meeting Notification-related Proposal	79	21	For
EASYJET PLC	10/02/2022	Approve Political Donations	78	19	For
Governance & Other Shareholder Resolutions					
ABBVIE INC.	06/05/2022	Shareholder Resolution: Submit Severance Agreement (Change-in-Control) to	50	49	For
1.000.000	06/05/2022	Shareholder Resolution: Right to Call Special Meetings	50	49 49	For
NORTHROP GRUMMAN CORPORATION	18/05/2022	Shareholder Resolution: Right to Call Special Meetings Shareholder Resolution: Right to Call Special Meetings			For
GLOBAL PAYMENTS INC	28/04/2022	Shareholder Resolution: Right to Call Special Meetings Shareholder Resolution: Right to Call Special Meetings	50	49	For
APPLIED MATERIALS INC	10/03/2022	Shareholder Resolution, Right to Call Special Weetings	49	49	For
INTERCONTINENTAL EXCHANGE, INC.	13/05/2022	Shareholder Resolution: Reduce Ownership Threshold for Shareholders to Call	49	50	For
Environmental & Socially Focussed Shareholder Resolution			F0	40	-
PHILLIPS 66	11/05/2022	Shareholder Resolution: Report on Reducing Plastic Pollution	50	49	For
AMAZON.COM INC.		Shareholder Resolution: Report on Efforts to Reduce Plastic Use	49	51	For
	25/05/2022				
MONDELEZ INTERNATIONAL INC	25/05/2022 18/05/2022	Shareholder Resolution: Oversee and Report on a Racial Equity	48	51	Against
	18/05/2022				Against
MONDELEZ INTERNATIONAL INC APPLE INC		Shareholder Resolution: Oversee and Report on a Racial Equity Shareholder Resolution: Civil Rights Audit	48 53	51 46	Against For
	18/05/2022	Shareholder Resolution: Oversee and Report on a Racial Equity			ŭ

<u>Note</u>

Where the voting record has not been provided at the fund level, we rely on periodic information provided by investment managers to identify the stocks held. This means it is possible that some of the votes listed above may relate to companies that were not held within the Scheme's pooled funds at the date of the vote. Equally, it is possible that there are votes not included above which relate to companies that were held within the Scheme's pooled funds at the date of the vote.

Methodology for Determining Significant Votes

The methodology used to identify significant votes for this statement uses an objective measure of significance: the extent to which a vote was contested - with the most Significant Votes being those which were most closely contested.

The Trustee believes that this is a good measure of significance because, firstly, a vote is likely to be contentious if it is finely balanced, and secondly, in voting on the Trustee's behalf in a finely balanced vote, an investment manager's action will have more bearing on the outcome.

If the analysis was to rely solely on identifying closely contested votes, there is a chance many votes would be on similar topics which would not help to assess an investment manager's entire voting record. Therefore, the assessment incorporates a thematic approach; splitting votes into nine separate categories and then identifying the most closely contested votes in each of those categories.

A consequence of this approach is that the total number of Significant Votes is large. This is helpful for assessing an investment manager's voting record in detail but it presents a challenge when summarising the Significant Votes in this statement. Therefore, for practical purposes, the table on the previous page only includes summary information on each of the Significant Votes.

Trustee has not provided the following information which DWP's guidance suggests could be included in an Implementation Statement:

- Approximate size of the Scheme's holding in the company as at the date of the vote.
- If the vote was against management, whether this intention was communicated by the investment manager to the company ahead of the vote.
- An explanation of the rationale for the voting decision, particularly where: there was a
 vote against the board; there were votes against shareholder resolutions; a vote was
 withheld; or the vote was not in line with voting policy.
- Next steps, including whether the investment manager intends to escalate stewardship efforts.

The Trustee is satisfied that the approach used ensures that the analysis covers a broad range of themes and that this increases the likelihood of identifying concerns about an investment manager's voting behaviour. The Trustee's has concluded that this approach provides a more informative assessment of an investment manager's overall voting approach than would be achieved by analysing a smaller number of votes in greater detail.